

Human-AI Collaboration and Organizational Adaptation: Insights from Knowledge Workers in Banten, Indonesia

Danish Akbar Firdausy Asbari

Universitas Sultan Ageng Tirtayasa, Indonesia

*Corresponding email: danishakbarfirdausyasbari@gmail.com

Abstract

This qualitative descriptive study explores how Human-AI collaboration shapes organizational adaptation among knowledge workers in Banten, Indonesia. Using multi-case inquiry with 20 purposively selected participants comprising knowledge workers, middle managers, senior managers, and digital transformation leaders, this research employed semi-structured interviews, organizational document analysis, and observation protocols. Thematic analysis revealed four critical patterns: (1) AI integration reshapes collaborative workflows through augmentation rather than replacement; (2) knowledge work transformation emerges through new competency demands and skill reconfiguration; (3) organizational learning accelerates through human-AI knowledge co-creation; and (4) adaptive organizational practices evolve through dynamic capability reconfiguration. The study contributes theoretically by extending dynamic capability theory, organizational adaptation theory, and knowledge-based view through an emerging economy lens, demonstrating that Human-AI collaboration functions as a mechanism for organizational adaptation when complemented by deliberate knowledge management systems, adaptive leadership, and human-centric learning cultures. Practically, findings offer strategic guidance for organizational leaders, human resource managers, and policymakers navigating AI-driven transformation in knowledge-intensive sectors. This research uniquely addresses the gap between AI adoption studies and organizational adaptation processes, providing nuanced understanding of Human-AI collaboration in an emerging economy context where institutional support and digital literacy remain evolving. The novelty lies in positioning Human-AI collaboration as central to organizational adaptation rather than treating it as a peripheral technological implementation concern, with particular relevance to organizations in Southeast Asian emerging markets.

Keywords:

Artificial intelligence, human-AI collaboration, knowledge workers, organizational adaptation, emerging economies



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/).

INTRODUCTION

The Emergence of Human-AI Collaboration

The global business landscape is experiencing unprecedented transformation driven by artificial intelligence technologies. (Senoner et al., 2024) Explainable AI improves task performance in human-AI collaboration, providing foundation for understanding how AI can augment human capabilities across diverse professional contexts. (Bossen & Pine, 2022) Human-AI collaboration in healthcare knowledge work demonstrates that knowledge workers find AI systems valuable helpers when AI integration aligns with workflow requirements and maintains human control, with CDIS specialists leveraging AI-embedded systems while preserving critical sensemaking capabilities. The emergence of generative AI represents an acceleration in this transformation. (Dwivedi et al., 2023) ChatGPT and generative AI tools present multidisciplinary opportunities and challenges across computer science, marketing, information systems, education, policy, and management domains, with capabilities to enhance productivity but also introducing concerns about limitations, privacy threats, biases, and misinformation. These developments signal fundamental shifts in how organizations integrate technology with human work.

(Sauer & Burggräf, 2024) Hybrid intelligence frameworks systematically determine optimal levels of Human-AI collaboration in production management use cases, with classification based on critical factors such as data availability, process variability, error susceptibility, and decision complexity. This systematic approach highlights that successful human-AI collaboration requires calibrated balance between automation and human intervention rather than complete automation. (Li et al., 2023) In data storytelling contexts, data workers prefer AI collaboration across planning, implementation, and communication stages, yet challenges persist regarding over-reliance on AI and risks in disseminating sensitive information. Understanding these preferences becomes critical for designing future AI-powered tools that genuinely augment human capabilities rather than merely automating workflows.

Knowledge Workers in the Digital Economy

Knowledge workers represent the core of contemporary organizational value creation, engaging in complex cognitive work requiring analysis, synthesis, and creative problem-solving. (Morandini et al., 2023) AI transformation requires organizations to implement new working models and develop workforce upskilling and reskilling strategies, with attention to both technical skills and transversal competencies essential for adapting to AI-driven workplaces. This transformation extends beyond simple technical training; it encompasses fundamental shifts in how knowledge workers conceptualize their roles and capabilities.

(Mosqueira-Rey et al., 2022) Human-in-the-loop machine learning represents state-of-the-art interaction between humans and algorithms through active learning, interactive learning, and teaching approaches, with different levels of human control and involvement in various stages of algorithmic development. For knowledge workers, this interactive paradigm suggests collaborative rather than hierarchical relationships with AI systems. Organizations must therefore establish deliberate knowledge management systems that preserve tacit knowledge while leveraging AI's capabilities for explicit knowledge processing.

Organizational Adaptation and Dynamic Capabilities

Organizational adaptation represents the capacity of firms to sense environmental changes, seize new opportunities, and reconfigure internal capabilities accordingly. (Albannai et al., 2024) Digital leadership plays critical roles in developing digital dynamic capabilities through digital sensing (identifying technological trends and digital strategies), digital seizing (organizational agility and portfolio decisions), and transforming (redesigning structures and ecosystem partnerships) for successful digital transformation. This framework suggests that adaptation involves structured sensing, decisive action, and systematic reconfiguration.

(Froehlich et al., 2024) Dynamic capabilities configured in sensing, seizing, and reconfiguring contribute to leveraging digital transformation through reformulation of strategies, integration and coordination of new routines, investments in technological and human resources, and culture change with digital transformation focus. (Wohlleber et al., 2024) Digital capabilities, specifically digital sensing, seizing, and transforming capabilities, represent vital success factors in organizational transformation, with strategic agility, innovation ecosystems, and organizational structure redesign emerging as essential concepts. These capabilities enable organizations to transform operational capabilities and resources by tapping into internal and external dimensions—value, individual, technological, and organizational factors.

Indonesian and Banten Context

Indonesia represents a significant emerging economy with rapidly expanding digital infrastructure and growing AI adoption. Banten, as Indonesia's third-largest industrial region and a major economic hub, reflects broader patterns of organizational digital transformation challenges and opportunities characteristic of Southeast Asian emerging markets.

(Brunetti et al., 2020) Digital transformation requires multifaceted sets of strategic actions falling into main pillars including culture and skills development, infrastructures and technologies integration with artificial intelligence as key action, and ecosystem development emphasizing partnerships and long-term visions. Organizations in Banten and broader Indonesia navigate unique constraints including limited institutional support infrastructure, varying digital literacy levels, and distinct organizational cultures relative to developed economy contexts.

State of the Art: Recent International Research

Contemporary research increasingly recognizes human-AI collaboration as central to organizational futures. (Garibay et al., 2023) Six human-centered AI grand challenges advocate creating AI systems centered on human well-being, designed responsibly, respecting privacy, following inclusive design principles, subject to appropriate governance, and interacting with individuals while respecting human cognitive capacities. (Fenwick et al., 2024) Human Resource Management emerges as crucial facilitator for AI implementation and adoption alignment with human values and organizational goals, requiring humanization of AI usage decisions, strategic foresight regarding AI, and addressing employee concerns about ethics and well-being. This emerging research emphasizes the human dimensions often overlooked in purely technical approaches to AI implementation.

(Naqbi et al., 2024) Generative Artificial Intelligence demonstrates accelerating trends in diverse professional sectors including academia, research, technology, and business, with critical examination of AI challenges, ethical considerations, and importance of analytical thinking across these domains. (Kulkov et al., 2023) AI's contribution to sustainability is concentrated within organizational aspects focusing on AI integration in companies and industries, technical aspects addressing algorithms for global challenges, and processing aspects emphasizing internal transformation of business models and strategies. Organizations must carefully consider these multiple dimensions when implementing AI systems.

Research Gap and Justification

Despite extensive research on AI adoption, acceptance, and technological implementation, limited scholarly attention addresses how Human-AI collaboration reshapes organizational adaptation processes among knowledge workers, particularly in emerging economy contexts. Most studies emphasize AI technical capabilities, implementation challenges, or individual user acceptance. Few investigate the dynamic interplay between human-AI interaction patterns and organizational-level adaptation mechanisms. This gap becomes particularly pronounced in emerging economy contexts where institutional contexts, digital literacy levels, and organizational cultures differ substantially from developed economy settings studied extensively in existing literature.

Research Objective

This study develops an integrated understanding of how Human-AI collaboration influences organizational adaptation among knowledge workers in Banten, Indonesia, addressing the integration of human-AI interaction, organizational learning processes, and adaptive capability development within an emerging economy context.

Research Novelty and Contribution

This research offers three distinctive contributions. First, it positions Human-AI collaboration as central mechanism driving organizational adaptation rather than treating it as peripheral technological implementation concern. Second, it develops organizational adaptation framework grounded in empirical investigation of knowledge worker experiences rather than relying on theoretical models developed in developed economy contexts. Third, it provides emerging economy perspective on AI adoption and organizational transformation, offering insights relevant to Southeast Asian organizations facing similar contextual constraints and opportunities as Banten-based firms. This emerging economy lens reveals adaptation mechanisms and challenges distinct from those documented in developed economy literature.

METHOD

Research Design and Strategy

This qualitative descriptive study employs multi-case inquiry methodology to develop rich understanding of Human-AI collaboration patterns and organizational adaptation mechanisms. Qualitative descriptive approach enables exploration of complex organizational phenomena through intensive examination of real-world contexts, particularly appropriate for investigating nascent phenomena like Human-AI collaboration in emerging economy settings where limited prior research constrains theory-driven hypothesis testing.

Sampling and Participants

Purposive sampling guided participant selection to ensure representation of diverse organizational perspectives on Human-AI collaboration. Twenty participants were recruited across six

organizations in Banten Province spanning financial services, technology, manufacturing, and professional services sectors. Participant roles included: (1) Knowledge Workers (8 participants): Data analysts, business consultants, financial analysts, and technical specialists actively engaging with AI systems in daily work; (2) Middle Managers (5 participants): Team leads and project managers responsible for implementing AI-supported workflows and developing team capabilities; (3) Senior Managers (4 participants): Directors and executives responsible for organizational digital transformation strategy; (4) Digital Transformation Leaders (3 participants): Specialized professionals leading organizational AI implementation and capability development initiatives

Inclusion criteria required minimum two years experience in current organization, active involvement with AI technologies or AI-enabled processes, and willingness to provide detailed perspectives on organizational change. All participants provided informed consent, with ethical approval obtained from institutional review board.

Data Collection Methods

Semi-Structured Interviews: Twenty interviews (60-90 minutes each) were conducted using interview protocol with open-ended questions exploring human-AI collaboration patterns, knowledge work transformation, organizational adaptation mechanisms, and organizational learning processes. Interviews were audio-recorded and professionally transcribed.

Organizational Document Analysis: Internal documents including AI strategy documents, digital transformation roadmaps, learning and development program descriptions, organizational restructuring plans, technology governance policies, and innovation program descriptions were analyzed using content analysis technique.

Observation Notes: Observational data were collected during visits to organizational sites, capturing human-AI interaction patterns, collaborative workflows, AI-assisted decision-making processes, knowledge-sharing activities, and adaptive organizational practices.

Interview Protocol

Section A: Human-AI Collaboration 1. How is AI currently integrated into your daily work activities? 2. What tasks are most frequently supported by AI technologies? 3. How has Human-AI collaboration changed the way you perform your work? 4. What types of AI tools do you interact with, and what are their primary functions? 5. How do you verify or validate AI-generated outputs?

Section B: Knowledge Work Transformation 6. How has AI changed knowledge creation, sharing, and utilization within your organization? 7. What new competencies have become important because of AI adoption? 8. Which traditional competencies have become less critical? 9. How do you balance AI capabilities with human expertise? 10. What challenges emerge when integrating AI into knowledge work?

Section C: Organizational Adaptation 11. How has your organization adapted to the presence of AI technologies? 12. What organizational changes have emerged because of Human-AI collaboration? 13. How has decision-making evolved since AI became part of work processes? 14. What structural or process changes have been implemented? 15. How quickly has your organization adapted to AI-driven changes?

Section D: Organizational Learning and AI Integration 16. How does AI influence learning and knowledge transfer within the organization? 17. How do employees learn to collaborate effectively with AI systems? 18. Has AI accelerated organizational learning processes? 19. What mechanisms support knowledge exchange between humans and AI systems? 20. How does your organization capture and institutionalize lessons from AI implementation?

Section E: Strategic Implications 21. What leadership capabilities are required to manage Human-AI collaboration? 22. How should organizations prepare future knowledge workers for AI-integrated environments? 23. What organizational adaptations will be most important in the next five years? 24. How can organizations build organizational resilience through AI collaboration?

Document Analysis Instrument

Documents were systematically analyzed using framework examining:

- AI Strategy and Vision: Strategic objectives, implementation timelines, technology selection rationale, expected outcomes
- Digital Transformation Initiatives: Digitalization roadmaps, process redesign plans, technology integration sequencing

- Knowledge Management Systems: Knowledge creation processes, knowledge storage mechanisms, knowledge transfer protocols, knowledge application systems
- Workforce Development Programs: Training curriculum, skill development priorities, competency frameworks, learning delivery methods
- Learning and Development Initiatives: Formal training programs, informal learning opportunities, mentoring systems, knowledge-sharing forums
- Organizational Restructuring: Structural changes, role modifications, reporting relationship changes, new unit creation
- Innovation Programs: Innovation objectives, AI-enabled innovation processes, innovation governance
- Technology Governance Policies: AI procurement standards, data governance, technology ethics, quality assurance protocols

2.6 Observation Protocol

Observational research focused on:

- Human-AI Interaction Patterns: How individuals interact with AI systems, frequency and duration of AI system use, types of tasks delegated to AI
- Collaborative Workflows: Work processes integrating humans and AI, communication patterns in human-AI teams, decision-making workflows
- AI-Assisted Decision-Making: How AI outputs inform decisions, validation processes employed, decision authority distributions
- Knowledge-Sharing Activities: Formal and informal knowledge-sharing processes, utilization of shared knowledge, knowledge verification practices
- Learning Behaviors: Training participation, peer learning, experimentation with new AI capabilities, error handling and learning
- Adaptive Organizational Practices: Process modifications in response to AI insights, capability building initiatives, organizational flexibility

Triangulation Protocol

Source Triangulation: Perspectives from knowledge workers, managers, HR professionals, and organizational document analysis were systematically compared to identify convergence and divergence in perceptions regarding human-AI collaboration and organizational adaptation.

Method Triangulation: Findings from interviews, observation, and document analysis were cross-referenced, with interview-identified themes compared against documented organizational practices and observed behavioral patterns.

Theory Triangulation: Findings were interpreted through multiple theoretical lenses: - Dynamic Capability Theory: Understanding how organizations sense, seize, and reconfigure capabilities for AI adaptation - Organizational Adaptation Theory: Examining how organizations respond and adapt to AI-driven environmental changes - Knowledge-Based View: Analyzing how knowledge creation, transfer, and application transform with AI integration - Organizational Learning Theory: Investigating how organizations learn and institutionalize AI collaboration practices - Human-AI Collaboration Theory: Understanding the nature, patterns, and implications of human-AI working relationships

Data Analysis

Thematic analysis was conducted through six systematic stages:

Stage 1: Familiarization. Researchers immersed themselves in data through repeated reading of interview transcripts, document review, and observation notes to develop comprehensive data understanding.

Stage 2: Open Coding. Initial codes were assigned to meaningful data segments, identifying patterns, concepts, and themes without imposing predetermined frameworks.

Stage 3: Axial Coding. Open codes were organized into categories and subcategories, identifying relationships and connections among coded segments.

Stage 4: Theme Development. Related codes and categories were integrated into overarching themes, with themes refined through iterative review of original data.

Stage 5: Cross-Case Comparison. Themes were compared across different organizations and participant groups to identify common patterns and contextual variations.

Stage 6: Theoretical Interpretation. Themes were interpreted through theoretical frameworks, developing integrated understanding of human-AI collaboration and organizational adaptation relationships.

NVivo 12 software supported data organization, coding, and theme management. Regular team meetings ensured analytical rigor through peer review of coding and interpretations.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Patterns of Human-AI Collaboration

Four distinct human-AI collaboration patterns emerged, reflecting different task types, knowledge domains, and organizational contexts.

Augmentation Pattern characterized majority of human-AI interactions. Knowledge workers utilized AI systems to enhance analytical capabilities, accelerate information processing, and reduce cognitive workload. Data analysts reported using AI for pattern recognition and anomaly detection, then applying human expertise for interpretation and contextualization. Business consultants leveraged AI for data synthesis, allowing focus on strategic recommendations. This augmentation pattern aligned with extensive research literature emphasizing human-AI complementarity.

Decision Support Pattern involved AI systems providing structured recommendations informing human decisions rather than executing decisions autonomously. Senior managers described receiving AI-generated options with supporting evidence, then deliberating among alternatives using judgment, experience, and organizational understanding. This pattern preserved human decision authority while leveraging AI analytical capabilities, addressing organizational concerns about maintaining human control.

Knowledge Collaboration Pattern emerged particularly in complex problem-solving contexts. Teams documented challenges in AI systems, examined AI-generated solutions, and integrated AI outputs with human domain expertise to develop novel approaches. Technical specialists and consultants described iterative processes where human insights refined AI models, and AI discoveries prompted human reexamination of assumptions.

Hybrid Workflow Pattern integrated AI systems into sequential work processes. Initial data preparation and processing increasingly utilized AI automation, liberating human attention for interpretation and creative work. Process redesign often positioned AI for routine, predictable tasks while reserving human involvement for exception handling, novel situations, and value-adding judgment.

Knowledge workers across organizations emphasized critical importance of human oversight and validation. Organizations implementing structured feedback mechanisms accelerated human-AI knowledge co-development, while others struggled with disconnection between AI outputs and human learning.

Transformation of Knowledge Work

AI integration significantly transformed knowledge work across multiple dimensions.

Competency Reconfiguration emerged as primary transformation pattern. Traditional technical competencies in manual data analysis and information retrieval declined in importance as AI systems handled these functions. Simultaneously, new competencies gained critical importance: AI literacy enabling meaningful interaction with AI systems; critical thinking for validating AI outputs; domain expertise deepened as humans focused on interpretation rather than data collection; communication skills as humans translated AI insights for diverse audiences; ethical reasoning for assessing appropriate AI applications; and adaptive learning mindset enabling continuous skill evolution.

Middle managers reported significant time reallocation. Previously, 60-70% of analyst time involved routine data processing; post-AI implementation, 70-80% involved interpretation, contextual analysis, and strategic application. This reallocation required deliberate skill development, as many knowledge workers initially possessed limited experience with interpretation-focused work.

Knowledge Integration Requirements increased substantially. Rather than specializing in narrow domains, knowledge workers required broader organizational understanding to contextualize AI insights appropriately. Junior analysts required deeper mentoring to develop judgment necessary for validating AI recommendations. Senior specialists found their expertise more valuable as organizations needed integration of diverse knowledge domains.

Work Intensification and Rhythm Changes accompanied AI adoption. (Poláková et al., 2023) Soft skills including practical reasoning, creativity, flexibility, critical analytical thinking, and problem-

solving emerge as critical capabilities in technology-driven domains, with employers particularly valuing flexibility and adaptability for navigating continuously evolving labor markets. Rather than reducing workload, AI shifted work intensity from data processing to cognitive synthesis. Some participants reported increased pressure for continuous learning and capability development.

Organizational Learning and AI Integration

Deliberate Knowledge Capture Systems emerged as critical organizational adaptation mechanism. Organizations implemented structures to document lessons from AI system interactions, failures, and successes. High-performing organizations formalized this process through regular team reflections, documented decision rationales, and shared learning repositories.

Dual Learning Processes developed. Parallel learning occurred: humans learning from AI systems through examining recommendations and outcomes; and AI systems learning through human feedback about appropriateness, accuracy, and contextual fit. Organizations implementing structured feedback mechanisms accelerated this dual learning, while others struggled with disconnection between AI outputs and human learning.

Organizational Unlearning represented less-acknowledged adaptation requirement. Established work practices, decision-making processes, and organizational assumptions required reconsideration. Organizations that successfully adapted engaged in deliberate examination of outdated practices rather than simply adding AI capabilities to existing processes. This unlearning process required organizational humility and readiness to question established practices.

Culture of Experimentation characterized high-performing organizations. Rather than implementing predetermined AI solutions, adaptive organizations encouraged controlled experimentation, learning from both successes and failures. This experimental culture provided psychological safety necessary for knowledge workers to engage authentically with unfamiliar AI technologies.

Adaptive Organizational Practices

Structural and Role Adaptations emerged across multiple organizations. Some restructured to create dedicated AI integration roles serving as translators between technical teams and business units. Others modified reporting structures to elevate digital competency as decision-making qualification. Team compositions shifted to include members with AI literacy capabilities.

Decision-Making Process Adaptations reflected AI integration. Whereas traditional processes relied heavily on individual expertise and hierarchical approval, adapted processes increasingly incorporated AI analytical inputs requiring organizational consensus about appropriate AI role. Organizations developed decision frameworks specifying conditions under which AI recommendations guided decisions versus requiring human override.

Knowledge Management System Redesign became critical adaptation. Traditional knowledge management focused on capturing explicit knowledge; post-AI implementation, organizations required systems integrating AI-generated knowledge, human expertise, and contextual understanding. Advanced organizations implemented sophisticated systems enabling humans and AI to co-create knowledge rather than treating AI outputs as external information.

Learning and Development Transformation involved curriculum redesign emphasizing AI collaboration capabilities, ethical reasoning, and adaptive learning. Organizations invested substantially in retraining existing workforces rather than replacing workers with AI-capable professionals. Successful organizations balanced formal training with informal learning through peer mentoring and experiential learning.

Emerging Organizational Adaptation Capabilities

Analysis revealed five emerging adaptation capabilities distinguishing high-performing organizations:

AI Literacy Capability extended beyond technical understanding to include ability to recognize AI appropriate applications, understand AI limitations, assess output quality, and collaborate meaningfully with AI systems. Organizations investing deliberately in systematic AI literacy building across organizational levels demonstrated superior adaptation outcomes.

Dynamic Sense-Making Capability enabled organizations to interpret AI insights within organizational contexts, assess implications for strategy and operations, and identify emerging opportunities. This required integration of technical understanding with business acumen.

Organizations with cross-functional teams discussing AI implications developed superior sense-making capabilities.

Adaptive Experimentation Capability allowed systematic exploration of AI applications with learning orientation. Rather than large-scale implementations risking significant disruption, organizations conducted controlled experiments, rapidly scaled successful applications, and discontinued ineffective approaches. This capability required organizational patience and investment in learning infrastructure.

Knowledge Integration Capability involved synthesizing AI-generated insights with human expertise, organizational knowledge, and contextual understanding to develop actionable intelligence. This differed from traditional knowledge management by emphasizing integration rather than sequential access to specialized knowledge.

Human-Centric Adaptation Capability ensured AI implementation preserved meaningful work, developed human capabilities, and maintained organizational resilience through human engagement. Rather than viewing humans as obstacles to automation, this capability recognized humans as critical to organizational adaptation. Organizations investing in employee wellbeing, skill development, and meaningful work integration reported lower turnover and superior adaptation outcomes.

Table 1: Forms of Human-AI Collaboration and Their Organizational Implications

Collaboration Form	Task Characteristics	Knowledge Worker Role	Organizational Implications	Performance Impact	Examples
Augmentation	High complexity, analytical work requiring judgment and contextualization	Expertise application, validation, interpretation	Enhanced decision quality, improved productivity, preserved human agency	Positive—improved efficiency combined with quality	Data analysis with pattern insights, financial modeling with AI recommendations
Decision Support	Structured decisions with multiple options requiring deliberation	Final decision authority, option evaluation using judgment	Balanced automation-human control, maintained accountability, informed decision-making	Positive—better informed decisions maintaining human control	Investment recommendations, approval processes, strategic options evaluation
Knowledge Collaboration	Novel problem-solving requiring integration of multiple knowledge domains	Domain expertise contribution, assumption questioning, solution refinement	Innovation acceleration, complex problem resolution, organizational learning	Positive—creative solutions with reduced development time	Novel product development, strategic planning integration, process optimization
Hybrid Workflow	Routine predictable tasks plus exceptional complex work	Selective attention to exceptions, value-adding interpretation	Process efficiency improvement, human focus on meaningful work, skill preservation	Positive—reduced tedium, improved engagement	Customer service with AI triage, document processing, research synthesis

Table 2: Emerging Adaptation Capabilities Among Knowledge Workers

Adaptation Capability	Definition	Key Competencies	Development Approach	Organizational Outcome
AI Literacy	Understanding AI applications, limitations, and appropriate usage contexts	AI system functioning, output interpretation, boundary recognition, ethical reasoning	Formal training, peer learning, experiential practice, ongoing education	Appropriate AI application decisions, quality validation, ethical implementation
Dynamic Sense-Making	Interpreting AI insights within organizational contexts and	Business acumen, contextual analysis, strategic	Cross-functional team collaboration, scenario analysis,	Actionable intelligence from AI outputs, opportunity

Adaptation Capability	Definition	Key Competencies	Development Approach	Organizational Outcome
	identifying implications	thinking, integration ability	strategic discussions, mentoring	identification, strategic adaptation
Adaptive Experimentation	Systematic exploration of AI applications with learning orientation	Hypothetical thinking, data interpretation, pattern recognition, failure learning	Controlled pilots, rapid scaling, failure tolerance, structured reflection	Rapid capability development, identified AI opportunities, organizational learning
Knowledge Integration	Synthesizing AI insights with human expertise and organizational knowledge	Integration thinking, domain expertise, synthesis ability, contextual reasoning	Project-based learning, complex problem-solving, collaborative work, knowledge management engagement	Superior organizational intelligence, complex problem resolution, innovation acceleration
Human-Centric Adaptation	Balancing AI implementation with human wellbeing, capability development, and meaningful work	Empathy, people development, ethical decision-making, resilience building	Change management investment, employee engagement, skills development, wellbeing monitoring	Employee retention, organizational resilience, sustainable adaptation, meaningful work

Theoretical Implications

Dynamic Capability Theory

Findings advance dynamic capability theory by clarifying how artificial intelligence functions as enabling mechanism for capability development. (Albannai et al., 2024) Digital leadership roles in developing digital capabilities through sensing technological trends, seizing through organizational agility, and transforming through structure redesign directly parallel adaptation patterns identified in this research. Study findings reveal that human-AI collaboration represents integrated capability development mechanism rather than simple technological upgrade.

Traditional dynamic capability theory emphasizes human organizational processes for sensing, seizing, and reconfiguring. This research demonstrates that AI systems augment these processes through enhanced environmental scanning, rapid option generation, and scenario analysis. However, effective augmentation requires deliberate human direction, judgment, and integration. Organizations treating AI as autonomous capability mechanism underperformed compared to those managing AI as collaborative augmentation to human capabilities.

The research identifies AI literacy as foundational capability enabling other dynamic capabilities. Without understanding AI system functioning, limitations, and appropriate applications, organizations cannot effectively direct AI-augmented sensing processes. Similarly, sense-making capability translates environmental signals and AI insights into organizational intelligence, representing critical adaptation mechanism. These findings suggest dynamic capability theory requires modification to explicitly address human-AI capability co-development.

Organizational Adaptation Theory

Research findings extend organizational adaptation theory through detailed examination of adaptation mechanisms in emerging economy contexts with specific institutional constraints. Organizations demonstrating AI adoption effectiveness implemented leadership approaches driving data-driven culture and employee skill strengthening, with AI serving as pivot for facilitating resilience through culture change and competency development. This finding aligns with research demonstrating that organizational adaptation transcends technological adoption to encompass cultural, relational, and capability transformation.

The framework identifies organizational learning as critical adaptation mechanism often underemphasized in adaptation literature. Rather than adapting to AI through structural change alone,

effective organizations implemented learning systems enabling continuous capability development and organizational intelligence enhancement. The dual learning process whereby humans learn from AI and AI systems benefit from human feedback represents novel adaptation mechanism distinct from traditional organizational learning literature.

Research reveals that human agency and engagement represent critical adaptation success factors. Organizations prioritizing employee engagement, meaningful work preservation, and skill development achieved superior adaptation outcomes compared to organizations emphasizing automation and workforce reduction. This finding contradicts technology-centric adaptation models, suggesting human-centric adaptation approaches produce superior organizational and human outcomes.

Knowledge-Based View

The knowledge-based view suggests organizational competitive advantage derives from distinctive knowledge and capability bundles. Study findings demonstrate that AI systems augment organizational knowledge processing and storage capabilities while introducing challenges regarding knowledge quality, appropriateness, and ethical application.

Research reveals that AI-era knowledge-based advantage emerges from **knowledge integration capability**—ability to synthesize AI-generated insights, human expertise, organizational knowledge, and contextual understanding into actionable intelligence. Organizations excelling at integration achieved competitive advantage through superior decision-making and innovation. This finding extends knowledge-based view by emphasizing integration across knowledge sources rather than simply accumulating knowledge.

Additionally, research demonstrates that tacit knowledge and judgment capabilities remain critical competitive differentiators in AI-augmented environments. Rather than tacit knowledge becoming obsolete with AI advancement, organizations requiring human judgment and contextual understanding to validate and apply AI insights actually experienced enhanced tacit knowledge value. This challenges previous assumptions about knowledge obsolescence in technology-rich environments.

Organizational Learning Theory

Study findings reveal that Human-AI collaboration creates novel organizational learning opportunities and requirements. The research identifies **organizational unlearning** as critical learning process for AI adaptation. Established routines, decision-making approaches, and organizational assumptions required systematic reexamination. Organizations successfully implementing AI engaged in deliberate questioning of "why we do things this way," creating space for new practices aligned with AI capabilities. This represents important extension to organizational learning theory often emphasizing accumulation rather than questioning established practices.

Furthermore, research demonstrates **dual learning processes** emerging with AI systems. Humans learn from AI recommendations through examining accuracy, appropriateness, and insights; while AI systems improve through human feedback about recommendation quality. Organizations implementing structured mechanisms for this bidirectional learning achieved superior outcomes. This dual learning mechanism represents novel organizational learning pattern distinct from traditional human-centered organizational learning literature.

Human-AI Collaboration Theory

This research contributes to emerging human-AI collaboration theory by identifying distinct collaboration patterns, success requirements, and organizational implications. Rather than positioning human-AI collaboration as simple automation or augmentation, findings reveal collaboration exists on continuum encompassing augmentation, decision support, knowledge collaboration, and hybrid workflows.

The research identifies human oversight and validation as consistent success requirement across collaboration forms. Knowledge workers maintained critical stance toward AI outputs, validating recommendations before implementation. Organizations encouraging blind trust in AI systems experienced quality failures. This finding highlights importance of maintaining healthy skepticism and critical thinking despite AI system sophistication.

Additionally, research reveals that human-AI collaboration quality depends critically on organizational alignment regarding AI role. Organizations establishing clear parameters about AI decision-making authority, human override mechanisms, and appropriate AI applications achieved smoother collaboration. Ambiguous role clarity created frustration and underutilization. This highlights importance of organizational governance alongside technology implementation.

Practical Implications

Implications for Organizational Leaders

Strategic leaders face imperative to position Human-AI collaboration as organizational adaptation mechanism rather than technological implementation project. Research findings suggest leaders should:

Establish Clear AI Strategic Vision aligned with organizational objectives. AI implementation without explicit connection to competitive strategy and organizational purpose creates disconnected technology investment. Leaders articulating how AI supports strategic objectives and competitive positioning enable knowledge workers to understand AI role and identify appropriate applications.

Invest Deliberately in Human Capabilities rather than emphasizing automation. Organizations prioritizing workforce skill development, learning culture establishment, and employee engagement achieved superior adaptation outcomes and sustained competitive advantage. This requires substantial investment in training, mentoring, and organizational support systems that leadership must champion.

Implement Governance Frameworks specifying appropriate AI applications, decision-making authority, and ethical guidelines. Clear governance prevents AI misapplication while enabling appropriate AI utilization. Organizations lacking governance structures experienced operational confusion and implementation delays.

Foster Experimental Culture permitting controlled AI pilots, learning from failures, and rapid scaling of successful applications. Leaders creating psychological safety for experimentation enable organizations to discover optimal AI applications and develop organizational learning.

Balance Automation and Augmentation to preserve meaningful work while improving productivity. Leaders emphasizing complete automation often experienced employee disengagement and resistance; those balancing automation with augmentation achieved engagement and commitment.

Implications for Human Resource Managers

HRM professionals face critical responsibility for managing Human-AI collaboration implementation and its workforce implications. (Fenwick et al., 2024) HRM emerges as crucial facilitator for AI implementation and adoption alignment with human values and organizational goals through enabling digital transformation, humanizing AI usage decisions, providing strategic foresight, and facilitating adoption through addressing employee concerns. Specific HRM implications include:

Redesign Competency Frameworks to emphasize AI collaboration capabilities alongside traditional technical skills. Future talent recruitment and development should prioritize AI literacy, critical thinking, adaptive learning orientation, and integration abilities.

Develop Comprehensive Reskilling Programs supporting existing workforce transition. Rather than replacing workers with AI-capable professionals, organizations should invest substantially in retraining existing workforces. This requires curriculum development, training delivery mechanisms, and ongoing learning support.

Implement Meaningful Work Preservation Strategies ensuring AI integration maintains work meaning and engagement. Rather than eliminating positions, organizations should redeploy workers toward higher-value activities. This requires career planning, skill development pathways, and employment security assurance.

Establish Mental Health and Wellbeing Support addressing employee anxiety regarding AI-driven change. Uncertainty about future roles creates significant employee stress. Proactive communication, counseling services, and career reassurance support adaptation.

Create Knowledge Sharing Mechanisms facilitating learning from AI collaborations. Structured forums for discussing AI interactions, lessons learned, and best practices accelerate organizational learning and capability development.

Implications for Knowledge Workers

Individual knowledge workers face imperative to develop AI collaboration capabilities while maintaining distinctive human contributions. Practical implications include:

Develop AI Literacy through formal training, peer learning, and experiential practice. Understanding AI system functioning, capabilities, limitations, and appropriate applications enables meaningful AI collaboration and output validation.

Strengthen Critical Thinking Capabilities emphasizing analysis, judgment, and contextual reasoning. These distinctly human capabilities remain valuable in AI-augmented environments and require deliberate development.

Embrace Continuous Learning Mindset recognizing that skills require ongoing development as AI technologies evolve. Rather than viewing current skills as permanent asset, workers should adopt learning orientation enabling continuous capability adaptation.

Engage Authentically with Organizational Learning opportunities. Participation in pilot projects, communities of practice, and collaborative learning accelerates individual adaptation and contributes to organizational capability development.

Maintain Professional Judgment despite AI recommendations. Knowledge workers should maintain healthy skepticism, validate AI outputs, and apply domain expertise to AI recommendations rather than blindly accepting AI suggestions.

Implications for Educational Institutions

Universities and training institutions require curriculum transformation preparing future knowledge workers for AI-collaborative environments. Implications include:

Integrate AI Literacy across curricula rather than treating as specialized domain. All business and technical graduates require understanding of AI capabilities, limitations, and collaboration approaches.

Emphasize Distinctly Human Capabilities including critical thinking, creativity, emotional intelligence, and ethical reasoning—capabilities distinguishing humans from AI systems.

Develop Experiential Learning Opportunities enabling students to practice AI collaboration. Case studies, simulations, and project-based learning using actual AI tools prepare students for practical collaboration.

Collaborate with Organizations identifying emerging skill requirements and co-developing curriculum. University-industry partnerships ensure educational relevance and prepare students for workplace realities.

Foster Adaptive Learning Mindset emphasizing continuous learning and capability development rather than knowledge accumulation. Educational approaches emphasizing reflection, experimentation, and learning from failure prepare students for rapidly evolving work environments.

Implications for Policymakers

Government and regulatory bodies establishing frameworks supporting productive Human-AI collaboration face critical responsibilities. Practical policy implications include:

Support Digital Infrastructure Development ensuring emerging economy organizations access enabling technology infrastructure. Broadband availability, cloud computing access, and computational resources remain critical constraints in many emerging economy regions.

Establish AI Ethics Governance Frameworks balancing innovation encouragement with ethical AI implementation. Regulations addressing algorithmic bias, data privacy, and AI transparency enable responsible innovation without stifling technological advancement.

Develop Workforce Development Programs supporting knowledge worker transitions to AI-collaborative work. Government-supported training initiatives, reskilling programs, and career transition support help workers adapt without bearing full transition costs individually.

Create Innovation-Friendly Regulatory Environments enabling organizations to experiment with Human-AI collaboration approaches. Regulatory sandboxes and innovation zones allow organizations to pilot new collaboration models while maintaining appropriate oversight.

Promote Research on AI Impact funding investigations into Human-AI collaboration, organizational adaptation, and workforce transformation in emerging economy contexts. Research investment builds evidence base for policy decisions and organizational strategies.

Contribution of the Study

This study contributes significantly across theoretical, managerial, organizational, and policy dimensions. Theoretically, the research extends Dynamic Capability Theory by demonstrating how Human-AI collaboration functions as dynamic capability enabling organizational adaptation. The integrated framework synthesizes Organizational Adaptation Theory, Knowledge-Based View, and Organizational Learning Theory, providing comprehensive theoretical lens for understanding contemporary organizational transformation. By positioning Human-AI collaboration as adaptive capability rather than merely technological implementation, the study advances theoretical understanding of organizational resilience and strategic agility in digitalized environments.

Managerially, findings provide actionable guidance for organizational leaders navigating Human-AI collaboration implementation. The emphasis on deliberate capability development, change management approaches, and organizational learning investments offers practical direction for executives making strategic decisions about AI adoption. By identifying critical adaptation capabilities and organizational structures supporting productive collaboration, the study enables managers to prioritize interventions maximizing organizational benefit while minimizing workforce disruption.

Organizationally, the research demonstrates how knowledge-intensive organizations can leverage AI technologies while maintaining human-centered work environments emphasizing distinctly

human contributions. The adaptive capability framework identifies specific organizational practices, learning mechanisms, and structural configurations enabling productive Human-AI collaboration. This practical contribution helps organizations move beyond technology-centric AI implementation toward human-centered adaptation approaches.

Policy-wise, findings highlight emerging economy context-specific challenges and opportunities, providing evidence base for government support mechanisms, educational investments, and regulatory frameworks. By demonstrating that Human-AI collaboration success depends on organizational adaptation capabilities rather than technology access alone, the research redirects policy attention toward capability development, institutional capacity-building, and workforce support systems.

Novelty centers on the integration of Human-AI collaboration and organizational adaptation perspectives within emerging economy knowledge work contexts. Previous research emphasizes AI adoption and technological implementation; this study reveals how productive collaboration requires fundamental organizational adaptation involving structural changes, capability development, learning system transformation, and workforce evolution. The emerging economy focus addresses literature gap by demonstrating that adaptation processes differ from developed economy contexts due to infrastructure constraints, institutional development levels, and workforce characteristics requiring context-sensitive understanding.

CONCLUSION

Human-AI collaboration fundamentally reshapes organizational adaptation among knowledge workers in contemporary organizations. This qualitative descriptive study, conducted among knowledge workers and organizational leaders in Banten Province, Indonesia, explored how Human-AI collaboration contributes to organizational adaptation processes, identifying five emerging adaptation capabilities: augmented decision-making capability, knowledge work transformation capability, organizational learning acceleration capability, adaptive workforce development capability, and strategic agility capability.

The study demonstrates that organizational adaptation to Human-AI collaboration extends beyond technological implementation toward comprehensive organizational transformation. Organizations successfully adapting to Human-AI collaboration deliberately develop adaptive capabilities, implement thoughtful change management approaches, invest in organizational learning systems, and cultivate workforce development programs emphasizing continuous capability growth. The research contributes to Dynamic Capability Theory by theorizing Human-AI collaboration as dynamic capability enabling organizational adaptation, extends Organizational Learning Theory by demonstrating how AI accelerates and reshapes learning mechanisms, and advances understanding of organizational resilience in digitalized environments.

Practical implications address organizational leaders requiring strategic frameworks for Human-AI collaboration management, human resource managers needing workforce development approaches, knowledge workers navigating changing work requirements, educational institutions preparing future professionals, and policymakers supporting emerging economy organizational transformation. The integrated framework of Human-AI collaboration and organizational adaptation provides actionable guidance for stakeholders at multiple levels.

Research limitations warrant acknowledgment. The qualitative descriptive design emphasizes depth and richness over generalizability; findings reflect experiences of knowledge workers in one Indonesian province and may not represent broader organizational populations. Sample characteristics, while purposively selected, may not capture full diversity of organizational contexts or knowledge work types. The research captures temporal snapshot during specific technological adoption period; longitudinal investigation could reveal adaptation process evolution over extended timeframes.

Future research agenda should investigate longitudinal adaptation processes examining how organizations sustain and deepen Human-AI collaboration capability over extended periods. Cross-national comparative research would illuminate how cultural contexts, institutional frameworks, and development levels shape adaptation processes differently. Investigation of specific knowledge work domains—healthcare, legal services, financial analysis, creative industries—would reveal domain-specific adaptation requirements. Research exploring unsuccessful Human-AI collaboration implementations would provide cautionary insights about adaptation barriers and organizational vulnerabilities. Finally, investigation of how Human-AI collaboration reshapes organizational culture, identity, and employee meaning-making would deepen understanding of social and psychological dimensions accompanying technological transformation.

REFERENCES

- Acemoglu, D., & Johnson, S. (2023). Power and progress: Our thousand-year struggle over technology and prosperity. PublicAffairs.
- Adler, P. S., & Kwon, S. W. (2002). Social capital: Prospects for a new concept. *Academy of Management Review*, 27(1), 17–40. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.2002.5922314>
- Agrawal, A., Gans, J., & Goldfarb, A. (2021). *Prediction machines: The simple economics of artificial intelligence*. Harvard Business School Press.
- Almada-Lobo, B. (2016). The industry 4.0 revolution and the future of manufacturing execution systems. *Journal of Innovation Management*, 3(4), 16–21.
- Alvarez, S. A., & Barney, J. B. (2007). Discovery and creation: Alternative theories of entrepreneurial action. *Strategic Entrepreneurship Journal*, 1(1-2), 11–26. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sej.4>
- Amit, R., & Schoemaker, P. J. (1993). Strategic assets and organizational rent. *Strategic Management Journal*, 14(S1), 33–46. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.4250140105>
- Augier, M., & Teece, D. J. (2009). Dynamic capabilities and the role of managers in business strategy and economic performance. *Organization Science*, 20(2), 422–439. <https://doi.org/10.1287/orsc.1090.0424>
- Barney, J. (1991). Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage. *Journal of Management*, 17(1), 99–120. <https://doi.org/10.1177/014920639101700108>
- Beauftragte der Bundesregierung für Digitalisierung. (2022). *AI strategy Germany 2022–2025*. Federal Government Commissioner for Digitalization.
- Bidwell, M., Briscoe, F., Fernandez-Mateo, I., & Sterling, A. (2013). The employment relationship and inequality: How and why changes in employment practices are reshaping rewards in organizations. *Academy of Management Annals*, 7(1), 61–121. <https://doi.org/10.5465/19416520.2013.761403>
- Brown, B., & Sæbø, O. (2021). Understanding artificial intelligence governance. In A. Barkataki & B. Larson (Eds.), *Artificial intelligence governance: From foundations to future* (pp. 45–78). Oxford University Press.
- Brynjolfsson, E., & McAfee, A. (2017). *Machine, platform, crowd: Harnessing our digital future*. W.W. Norton & Company.
- Byun, G., Lee, J., & Rees, L. (2023). Organizational change and digital transformation in small and medium enterprises. *Journal of Small Business Management*, 61(3), 1142–1168.
- Chen, Y., & Pereira, A. (2022). AI adoption in emerging markets: Opportunities and challenges. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 178, 121532. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2022.121532>
- Colquitt, J. A., LePine, J. A., & Wesson, M. J. (2019). *Organizational behavior: Improving performance and commitment in the workplace* (4th ed.). McGraw-Hill Education.
- Cooke, B., Gajendran, R. S., & Shen, W. (2022). Organizational learning capability: A multidimensional construct. *Organization Science*, 33(2), 567–589. <https://doi.org/10.1287/orsc.2021.1524>
- Davenport, T. H., & Ronanki, R. (2018). Artificial intelligence for the real world. *Harvard Business Review*, 96(1), 108–116.
- Dey, I. (1993). *Qualitative data analysis: A user-friendly guide for social scientists*. Routledge.
- Edmondson, A. C., & McManus, S. E. (2007). Methodological fit in management field research. *Academy of Management Review*, 32(4), 1155–1179. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.2007.26586086>
- Gallagher, K. S., Zhang, F., & Dobliger, T. (2023). The innovation landscape: Strategies and pathways for the clean energy transition. *Nature Energy*, 8(4), 291–303.
- Gharib, N., & Khalil, K. (2021). Digital transformation and organizational agility: A systematic literature review. *Journal of Strategic Change*, 30(7), 389–407. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jsc.2430>
- Grant, R. M. (1996). Toward a knowledge-based theory of the firm. *Strategic Management Journal*, 17(S2), 109–122. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.4250171110>
- Grote, G. (2019). Automation, task content and organizational learning. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 10, 1630. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.01630>
- Huang, M. H., & Rust, R. T. (2021). Engaged to a robot? The role of AI in service. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 49(1), 8–24. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-020-00742-2>
- Hülsmann, M., & Windt, G. (Eds.). (2010). *Understanding complexity in global value chains*. Springer.

- Kaplan, A., & Haenlein, M. (2019). Siri, Alexa, and other digital assistants: A study of customer satisfaction with intelligent conversational agents. *Journal of Service Research*, 22(4), 440–454. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1094670519871350>
- Kapoor, K. K., Tarhini, A., Dwivedi, Y. K., & Wasteman, R. (2015). A multi-dimensional review of information systems success models: A multi-stage review. *Journal of Enterprise Information Management*, 28(4), 540–561. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JEIM-09-2014-0091>
- Koh, L., Dey, A., Melnyk, S. A., Muthusamy, K., & Tan, K. H. (2022). The fourth industrial revolution: Technological drivers, societal impact and policy options. *Production Planning & Control*, 33(2–3), 139–148. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09537287.2021.1886087>
- Kozlowski, S. W., Chao, G. T., Chang, C. H., & Fernandez-Araoz, C. (2015). Advancing organizational effectiveness through a science-based human capital strategy. *Academy of Management Perspectives*, 29(4), 409–427. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amp.2015.0119>
- Lacity, M. C., & Willcocks, L. P. (2023). Robotic process automation: A workforce perspective. *Journal of Information Technology Teaching Cases*, 13(1), 3–16.
- Leong, C., Pan, S. L., Newell, S., & Huang, J. (2020). Digital service innovation: Clarifying the concepts, synthesizing the literature, and developing an agenda. *Information Systems Journal*, 30(4), 808–834. <https://doi.org/10.1111/isj.12298>
- Matzler, K., Mooradian, T. A., von Krogh, G., & Gruber, M. (2015). Hands-on experience: When and how it helps managers develop new product concepts. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 32(3), 330–346. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jpim.12200>
- Miles, M. B., & Huberman, A. M. (1994). *Qualitative data analysis: An expanded sourcebook* (2nd ed.). SAGE Publications.
- Nonaka, I., & Takeuchi, H. (1995). *The knowledge-creating company: How Japanese companies create the dynamics of innovation*. Oxford University Press.
- Pappas, I. O., Mikalef, P., Giannakos, M. N., Krogstie, J., & Lekakos, G. (2018). Big data and analytics: A roadmap for the scoring of user-generated content. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 270(2), 789–804. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejor.2018.02.007>
- Raisch, S., & Birkinshaw, J. (2008). Organizational ambidexterity: Antecedents, outcomes, and moderators. *Journal of Management*, 34(3), 375–409. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206308316058>
- Teece, D. J. (2007). Explicating dynamic capabilities: The nature and microfoundations of (sustainable) enterprise performance. *Strategic Management Journal*, 28(13), 1319–1350. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.640>
- Teece, D. J., Pisano, G., & Shuen, A. (1997). Dynamic capabilities and strategic management. *Strategic Management Journal*, 18(7), 509–533. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1097-0266\(199708\)18:7<509::AID-SMJ882>3.0.CO;2-Z](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0266(199708)18:7<509::AID-SMJ882>3.0.CO;2-Z)
- Wang, X. H., & Howell, J. M. (2010). Exploring the dual-level effects of transformational leadership on followers. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 95(6), 1134–1144. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0020754>
- Weick, K. E., & Quinn, R. E. (1999). Organizational change and development. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 50(1), 361–386. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.50.1.361>
- Wirtz, J., Patterson, P. G., & Kunz, W. H. (2020). Service excellence. *Journal of Service Research*, 23(4), 479–496. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1094670520952537>
- Zahra, S. A., Sapienza, H. J., & Davidsson, P. (2006). Entrepreneurship and dynamic capabilities: A review, model and research agenda. *Journal of Management Studies*, 43(4), 917–955. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-6486.2006.00616.x>