Indonesian Journal of Management and Economic Research (IJOMER)
Volume: 3 No. 1 (2026) ISSN 3047-9363

Digital Transformation for Crisis Resilience in MSMEs: Evidence from Banten Indonesia

Marinus Gea[1], Sri Lestari[2]
[1]Universitas Nias, Indonesia
[2]Universitas Insan Pembangunan Indonesia, Indonesia
[*]*Corresponding email: marinusgea73@gmail.com*

Abstract Micro, Small, and Medium Enterprises (MSMEs) in Banten, Indonesia face multifaceted crises encompassing economic shocks, digital disruption, and post-pandemic instability that threaten their operational viability and long-term sustainability. This qualitative case study investigates how digital transformation enables crisis resilience in MSMEs operating within crisis conditions. Drawing on in-depth interviews with 12 MSME owners and managers in Banten across manufacturing, culinary, and retail sectors, combined with documentary analysis, this research employs thematic analysis to examine organizational adaptation processes during crisis periods. The study reveals that digital transformation functions as a critical adaptive mechanism through which MSMEs enhance their sensing and response capabilities to external shocks. Key findings demonstrate that digital transformation operates through three primary mechanisms: operational reconfiguration via digital platforms and e-commerce, strategic flexibility through integrated information systems, and stakeholder collaboration facilitation via digital channels. Notably, the study identifies that digital transformation's effectiveness in building resilience is mediated by organizational learning capacity, leadership commitment, and institutional support mechanisms. This research contributes novel theoretical insights by operationalizing the relationship between digital transformation and crisis resilience through a dynamic capabilities lens applied to the Indonesian MSME context. Empirically, it provides evidence-based insights from Banten's MSME ecosystem during crisis conditions. Methodologically, it advances qualitative understanding of how MSMEs interpret and operationalize digital tools within crisis-constrained environments. The findings offer practical implications for MSME actors seeking to strengthen adaptive capacity, for policymakers designing support mechanisms, and for institutional development organizations facilitating inclusive digital transformation. The research concludes that sustainable crisis resilience in MSMEs emerges not merely from technology adoption, but from intentional organizational redesign that integrates digital capabilities with adaptive leadership and collaborative governance structures.

Keywords: Adaptive capacity; crisis resilience; digital transformation; MSME; organizational agility.

INTRODUCTION

The global economic landscape has been characterized by increasing volatility and interconnectedness, with Micro, Small, and Medium Enterprises (MSMEs) experiencing heightened vulnerability to systemic shocks. Indonesia's MSME sector, comprising over 99% of registered enterprises and employing 97% of the workforce, represents a critical pillar of national economic stability. Yet these enterprises remain disproportionately exposed to cascading crises—economic downturns, technological disruption, and pandemic-induced market dislocation. In Banten Province, a densely industrialized region of West Java, MSMEs have confronted accumulated stressors since 2020 that have fundamentally reshaped business operations and survival strategies (Suryadi et al., 2026). The COVID-19 pandemic precipitated unprecedented operational disruptions, forcing businesses to rapidly relocate activities online while managing simultaneous supply chain fractures and demand collapse. As pandemic-related restrictions have eased, MSMEs in Banten continue to navigate complex adaptive

challenges: inflationary pressures, volatile consumer demand, labor market instability, and accelerating digital disruption in competitive markets.

Within this context of sustained crisis conditions, digital transformation has emerged as a strategic imperative rather than an optional competitive advantage (Pandey, 2026). Existing scholarship documents that enterprises adopting digital technologies during crisis periods demonstrate enhanced adaptive capacity and faster recovery trajectories compared to non-adopters (Mustika, 2024). However, the mechanisms through which digital transformation translates into organizational resilience remain incompletely theorized, particularly within the specific context of resource-constrained MSMEs in emerging economies. While large enterprises possess dedicated digital infrastructure and technical expertise to implement comprehensive transformation, MSMEs operate under fundamentally different structural conditions: constrained capital allocation, limited human capital specialization, fragmented supply chains, and shallow integration into formal institutional ecosystems.

Recent systematic reviews synthesizing MSME digital transformation literature document a progression from early-stage emphasis on infrastructure and skill barriers toward more nuanced understanding of organizational capability development (Sagala & Őri, 2026). Studies increasingly recognize that digital transformation effectiveness depends not solely on technology adoption metrics, but on the interaction between technological capabilities, organizational learning systems, leadership orientation, and external institutional support (Hidayat et al., 2025). Digital transformation enables MSMEs to leverage existing resources more efficiently, stimulate innovation, and create organizational agility—conceptualized as the capacity for rapid adaptive reconfiguration in response to environmental volatility (Sagala & Őri, 2026).

In the Indonesian context specifically, research has documented how digital adoption correlates with improved MSME outcomes across multiple dimensions. MSMEs that maintained digitalization efforts for more than one year demonstrated significantly stronger resilience during the COVID-19 pandemic compared to non-digital counterparts (Supari & Anton, 2022). Digital platforms facilitated market diversification and customer access during lockdowns, enabling revenue stabilization (Mustika, 2024). Beyond pandemic-specific evidence, quantitative studies reveal that digital transformation dimensions—encompassing depth of technological application, process digitalization, and organizational change—significantly enhance supply chain resilience capabilities including resistance, recovery, and adaptability (Jia et al., 2025).

Yet substantial research gaps persist. First, existing literature remains heavily quantitative, employing cross-sectional survey designs that capture aggregate correlations but provide limited insight into the lived processes through which MSMEs interpret, adopt, and operationalize digital tools within crisis-constrained environments. Second, studies predominantly focus on pandemic-specific disruptions, with less attention to the sustained, multifaceted crisis conditions characterizing post-pandemic MSME ecosystems. Third, the dynamic mechanisms linking digital capabilities to resilience outcomes remain underspecified—particularly regarding how organizational learning, leadership practices, and institutional factors mediate digital technology's impact. Fourth, research on Indonesian MSMEs, while growing, concentrates on major metropolitan centers (Jakarta, Surabaya, Bandung) and industrial clusters, with limited empirical evidence from provincial MSME ecosystems like Banten's diverse manufacturing and culinary sectors. Fifth, existing frameworks often treat digital transformation as a discrete interventional variable rather than an emergent organizational process embedded within broader adaptive sequences.

This research addresses these gaps through a focused empirical investigation of how MSMEs in Banten operationalize digital transformation to enhance crisis resilience. Theoretically, it applies dynamic capabilities theory to understand how digital transformation functions as a mechanism for sensing environmental threats, seizing adaptive opportunities, and transforming organizational configurations in response to crisis conditions. This integration connects digital transformation literature with organizational resilience scholarship within an established theoretical framework that explains how organizations systematically develop capacity to reconfigure resources under uncertainty. Empirically, the research provides grounded evidence from Banten's MSME ecosystem during ongoing crisis conditions, moving beyond pandemic-specific analysis to examine sustained adaptation. Methodologically, the study advances qualitative understanding through in-depth case analysis of how MSME actors perceive, interpret, and implement digital technologies within real-world constraints.

Research Objective: This study aims to understand how digital transformation enables crisis resilience in MSMEs operating in Banten Province, Indonesia, by examining the mechanisms, enabling factors, and constraints through which MSME actors operationalize digital capabilities to enhance organizational adaptability during crisis periods.

Explicit Novelty Statement: This research contributes theoretically by operationalizing the dynamic capabilities framework to specify how digital transformation functions as a mechanism for crisis resilience in resource-constrained contexts. Empirically, it provides in-depth evidence from Banten MSMEs during sustained crisis conditions, extending beyond pandemic-specific literature. Methodologically, it advances qualitative understanding of organizational adaptation processes through systematic thematic analysis grounded in direct MSME actor perspectives.

METHOD

Research Design

This study employs a qualitative interpretive case study methodology grounded in phenomenological principles, examining how MSME actors make meaning of digital transformation within their lived crisis experiences. The qualitative approach is justified by the research objective's emphasis on understanding processes and mechanisms rather than testing predetermined causal hypotheses. Case study methodology is particularly suited to exploring complex organizational phenomena within their real-world context, enabling examination of how digital transformation unfolds as an emergent adaptive response rather than as a discrete intervention (Espinoza-Freire, 2025). The phenomenological orientation emphasizes capturing participants' subjective interpretations, decision-making logic, and embodied experiences navigating crisis conditions—dimensions that quantitative instrumentation cannot adequately capture (Qur'an, 2025).

Research Context and Participants

The research was conducted in Banten Province, West Java, Indonesia, encompassing the period from June 2024 through December 2024 (seven-month duration). Banten represents a strategically relevant research site characterized by: (1) high MSME concentration across diverse sectors including manufacturing, culinary enterprises, and retail; (2) exposure to multiple concurrent crises (economic instability, supply chain disruptions, labor market volatility); (3) significant digital infrastructure development alongside persistent digital literacy gaps; and (4) active engagement with government digitalization initiatives.

Participants were purposively selected through criterion-based sampling: MSME ownership or managerial position, minimum five-year operational history, active engagement with digital technologies for at least 12 months, and personal experience navigating crisis-induced operational challenges. The sampling strategy intentionally achieved diversity across three dimensions: (1) business sector (manufacturing, culinary, retail); (2) enterprise size (ranging from 5 to 50 employees); (3) digital maturity levels (ranging from basic e-commerce adoption to integrated management information systems). The final sample comprised 12 participants (8 MSME owners, 4 senior managers), representing 10 distinct enterprises. This sample size aligns with established qualitative case study protocols, enabling depth of analysis while maintaining analytical manageability.

Key participant characteristics: Mean organizational age 12.4 years (range 6–22 years); 58% female ownership/management; sectors represented: manufacturing (4 enterprises), culinary (4 enterprises), retail (2 enterprises). Digital adoption duration ranged from 18 months to 6 years, with mean 3.2 years. All participants reported direct experience with at least two distinct crisis events during their operational history (economic downturns, supply chain disruptions, pandemic-related restrictions).

Data Collection

Primary data were collected through semi-structured in-depth interviews lasting 60–90 minutes, conducted in Indonesian with professional transcription and translation. The interview protocol incorporated open-ended questioning to encourage narrative elaboration regarding: (1) organizational history and crisis exposure; (2) digital technology adoption trajectory and decision-making processes; (3) specific crisis events and adaptive responses; (4) perceived relationships between digital capabilities and survival/recovery; (5) organizational learning experiences; (6) barriers and enabling factors. A flexible questioning approach permitted respondent-directed exploration of emergent themes while maintaining consistency across interviews.

Secondary data collection included documentary analysis of enterprise records: digital platform usage logs, operational efficiency metrics, sales data, organizational communications, and policy documents related to government digitalization programs. Observational fieldwork encompassed site visits to business premises, observation of operational workflows, customer interactions, and

technology implementation contexts. These triangulated data sources enabled multidirectional validation and comprehensive contextual understanding.

Data Analysis

Data analysis employed systematic thematic analysis guided by Braun and Clarke's six-phase methodology. The process involved: (1) immersive familiarization through repeated interview transcript review; (2) initial open coding identifying substantive concepts; (3) focused code organization into thematic clusters reflecting conceptual relationships; (4) theme refinement and definition establishing coherent analytical categories; (5) theoretical integration connecting themes to research questions and existing frameworks; (6) iterative validation through constant comparative method.

Qualitative analysis software (NVivo 14) facilitated systematic code management, memo-writing, and pattern identification across distributed data sources. Coding initially proceeded inductively, generating categories from raw data, before shifting to theory-informed analysis connecting emergent themes to dynamic capabilities theory. The analysis specifically mapped how digital transformation operationalized three dynamic capability dimensions: (1) sensing—how MSMEs detected environmental threats and opportunities; (2) seizing—how they mobilized digital resources for adaptive response; (3) transforming—how they reconfigured organizational configurations to sustain adaptation.

Trustworthiness and Research Ethics

Research trustworthiness was strengthened through multiple strategies: (1) prolonged engagement (seven-month fieldwork period enabling iterative refinement); (2) persistent observation combining multiple site visits with temporal spacing; (3) triangulation across data sources (interviews, documents, observation); (4) member checking whereby preliminary analysis was reviewed with 6 participants for interpretive accuracy; (5) audit trail documentation of analytical decision-making; (6) reflexive researcher positionality statement acknowledging potential biases.

Ethical protocols adhered to institutional research standards: informed consent through detailed written explanation; confidentiality protection through anonymization and secure data storage; right to withdraw without penalty; minimal research burden through flexible scheduling. All identifiers were removed from transcripts; enterprises and individuals are referenced through coded designations. Research received ethical approval from the institutional review committee prior to fieldwork initiation.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Crisis Impact and MSME Vulnerability in Banten

MSMEs in Banten have confronted accumulating crises that fundamentally disrupted operational foundations and challenged survival itself. The COVID-19 pandemic triggered immediate operational collapse: 94.69% of surveyed MSMEs experienced significant sales decline, with 85.42% projecting business failure within one year absent intervention (Lestari et al., 2022). Beyond pandemic-specific disruptions, Banten's MSMEs navigated sustained economic turbulence including currency volatility affecting input costs, labor market compression limiting skilled workforce access, supply chain fractures disrupting material flows, and volatile consumer demand reflecting broader macroeconomic uncertainty. Participants consistently identified multiple overlapping crisis dimensions rather than discrete events.

Qualitative interviews revealed how crisis conditions created cascading operational pressures. Manufacturing enterprises experienced simultaneous input cost inflation, customer order cancellations, and production workforce instability. Culinary MSMEs confronted lockdown-induced customer access restrictions, supply chain disruptions for perishable goods, and psychological impacts on workforce motivation. Retail enterprises faced demand contraction and intensified competition from digital-native competitors. These crisis patterns exposed fundamental MSME vulnerabilities: capital constraints limiting financial reserves for crisis absorption, limited operational scale reducing cost distribution, constrained supply chain integration reducing adaptive flexibility, and unequal market position limiting negotiation power with suppliers and customers.

Most critically, crises revealed profound digital gaps. Enterprises lacking digital operational infrastructure could not maintain customer contact during lockdowns or alternative sales channels during demand disruptions. Those without digital financial management systems could not rapidly adjust cost structures or access crisis financing. Workforce lacking digital skills could not transition to

remote operations. These observations align with research documenting how digital transformation fundamentally altered which enterprises survived crisis periods (Mustika, 2024).

Digital Transformation Adoption Patterns in Crisis Response

Analysis revealed that digital transformation in Banten MSMEs proceeded through distinct adaptive phases triggered by successive crisis exposures rather than following linear planned implementation trajectories. Most enterprises adopted digital technologies reactively—initially driven by crisis necessity rather than proactive strategic planning. A culinary MSME owner described the pandemic pivot: "When lockdowns began in March 2020, customers disappeared overnight. Within two weeks, we opened a WhatsApp account, created basic product photos, and began taking orders through chat. This wasn't strategic planning—it was survival instinct."

However, analysis identified crucial differentiation between reactive adoption versus adaptive institutionalization. Some enterprises maintained initial crisis-driven technologies superficially without systematic integration. Others progressively developed deeper digital capabilities through organizational learning and deliberate capability building. A manufacturing enterprise that initially implemented basic e-commerce during demand collapse subsequently invested in inventory management systems, expanded platform reach to multiple e-commerce channels, developed digital marketing capabilities, and ultimately integrated supplier communication systems. This trajectory represented movement from reactive adoption toward strategic digital transformation.

Three digital adoption patterns emerged across the sample: (1) **Minimal adoption** (2 enterprises): Limited to basic communication platforms (WhatsApp, basic social media); remained largely offline-dependent; (2) **Intermediate adoption** (5 enterprises): Implemented operational platforms (e-commerce sites, digital payments, accounting software) with partial integration; (3) **Advanced adoption** (3 enterprises): Comprehensive digital ecosystem integration (multiple sales channels, inventory-production integration, advanced analytics, supply chain coordination).

Critically, adoption patterns correlated strongly with organizational learning intensity. Enterprises maintaining deliberate learning practices around digital implementation developed more sophisticated capabilities. A retail enterprise owner explained institutional learning practices: "Each month, we review sales data, customer feedback, and operational efficiency metrics from our digital systems. We identify problems and test adjustments. This constant refinement transformed how we operate." This learning-intensive approach aligned with literature emphasizing that digital transformation effectiveness depends on organizational learning mechanisms mediating technology adoption (Ningsih et al., 2024).

Mechanisms of Crisis Resilience Through Digital Transformation

The analysis identified three primary mechanisms through which digital transformation enhanced crisis resilience: (1) Operational reconfiguration, (2) Strategic flexibility, and (3) Stakeholder collaboration.

Operational Reconfiguration: Digital platforms enabled operational restructuring permitting business continuity despite crisis-induced constraints. During lockdowns, culinary MSMEs rapidly shifted from restaurant-dependent service delivery to multimodal channels: online ordering, delivery platforms (Gojek, Grab), direct customer communication, home delivery. Manufacturing enterprises accessed alternative customer segments through e-commerce platforms when traditional channels collapsed. Digital operations enabled operational adaptation within days rather than requiring months to develop alternative physical infrastructure. Critically, digital platforms democratized market access—previously, enterprises needed established networks, physical retail space, or significant capital to reach dispersed customers. Digital platforms eliminated these barriers.

Beyond market channel diversification, digital tools enabled operational efficiency improvements. Digital payment systems reduced cash handling vulnerability and improved financial tracking. Inventory management systems enabled rapid identification of underutilized inputs, reducing waste during demand disruption. Production scheduling systems permitted more efficient labor deployment when workforce availability declined. A manufacturing enterprise described the operational impact: "Our production efficiency improved 30% after implementing the scheduling system. We identified production bottlenecks we couldn't see with manual processes. During crisis, this efficiency margin meant survival."

Strategic Flexibility: Digital transformation enabled organizational reconfiguration permitting rapid strategic pivoting. Enterprises lacking integrated operational information systems could not rapidly assess situations or make strategic adjustments. Those with digital management information

systems possessed real-time operational visibility, enabling data-driven decision-making during crisis uncertainty. A retail enterprise implemented customer analytics capabilities revealing that certain product categories maintained demand during economic downturns while others collapsed. Armed with this insight, the enterprise reduced inventory in declining categories and concentrated stock in resilient categories—a strategic reorientation that would have been invisible without digital analytics.

Digital tools also enabled supply chain reconfiguration. Rather than maintaining relationships with single suppliers, enterprises could rapidly identify alternative suppliers when disruptions occurred. Digital communication platforms enabled coordination with geographically dispersed suppliers and customers. A manufacturing enterprise shifted from single-supplier dependence to multi-supplier networks coordinated through digital platforms. This strategic flexibility transformed supply chain vulnerability into managed diversification.

Stakeholder Collaboration: Digital platforms enabled ecosystem collaboration that amplified individual enterprise resilience. During crisis periods, MSMEs forming collaborative networks through digital platforms shared knowledge about alternative suppliers, customer segments, crisis adaptation strategies, and government support programs. A network of culinary enterprises coordinated through WhatsApp and digital platforms collectively negotiated with suppliers, shared delivery logistics, and cross-promoted products. Individual enterprises might not have survived; collective coordination generated sufficient scale for resilience.

Government-sponsored digitalization initiatives created institutional infrastructure supporting stakeholder collaboration. East Java Online Shopping platform (JATIM BEJO) and similar programs created digital ecosystems facilitating MSME participation in broader value chains (Irsanti & Rodiyah, 2025). These institutional arrangements reduced individual enterprise barriers to digital participation.

Strategic Reconfiguration and Adaptive Capacity

The analysis revealed that digital transformation catalyzed broader strategic reconfiguration enabling sustained adaptive capacity beyond immediate crisis response. Enterprises that initially adopted digital technologies for crisis survival subsequently discovered opportunities for systematic organizational redesign. Three strategic reconfiguration dimensions emerged: (1) Business model evolution, (2) Stakeholder ecosystem expansion, and (3) Organizational capability deepening.

Business Model Evolution: Traditional MSME business models—characterized by direct customer service, limited geographic reach, single sales channel, and personalized operations—proved insufficiently scalable for crisis adaptation. Digital transformation facilitated business model experimentation. A culinary enterprise initially operating single restaurant location developed multimodal delivery model; then expanded to meal subscription services; subsequently developed corporate catering services. Each evolution leveraged digital platforms enabling operational scaling. A retail enterprise transitioned from inventory-dependent model to consignment model coordinating multiple suppliers through digital platforms.

These business model shifts represented intentional strategic evolution rather than reactive crisis response. Enterprises invested in understanding customer preferences through digital analytics, tested new value propositions, and systematically scaled successful innovations. This represented organizational learning translating digital tools into competitive advantage.

Stakeholder Ecosystem Expansion: Digital transformation fundamentally altered stakeholder networks accessible to MSMEs. Traditionally, MSMEs cultivated local networks—nearby suppliers, established customer bases, local financial institutions. Digital platforms enabled engagement with distributed stakeholders: suppliers across regions accessible through digital marketplaces, customers nationwide via e-commerce platforms, financial technology providers offering credit without traditional collateral requirements, business support organizations providing digital mentoring.

A manufacturing enterprise described ecosystem expansion: "Five years ago, we worked exclusively with local suppliers and customers. Now, through digital networks, we source from suppliers throughout Java, reach customers nationwide, and collaborate with MSMEs in other regions on joint projects. Our business capacity has fundamentally transformed." This stakeholder ecosystem expansion increased access to resources, knowledge, and opportunities while reducing dependence on geographically constrained networks vulnerable to localized disruptions.

Organizational Capability Deepening: Digital transformation catalyzed systematic investment in organizational capabilities—workforce digital literacy, management information infrastructure, data analysis capacity, financial management sophistication. Initially, enterprises viewed digital tool adoption as technical implementation. Over time, many progressively recognized that sustained

resilience required fundamental organizational redesign embedding digital thinking into strategic planning, decision-making, and operational practices.

A manufacturing enterprise implemented comprehensive digital management system; simultaneously invested in workforce training, redesigned operational workflows to leverage digital data, and restructured decision-making processes incorporating analytics. These organizational changes transformed digital tools from operational conveniences into strategic capabilities enabling adaptive responsiveness.

However, the analysis also identified persistent constraints limiting organizational capability deepening: (1) Financial constraints—comprehensive digital infrastructure requires capital investments beyond many MSME capacity; (2) Human capital gaps—specialized digital skills (data analysis, advanced platform administration) remained scarce; (3) Institutional fragmentation—support organizations often provided siloed assistance rather than integrated capability development; (4) Cognitive barriers—some MSME actors expressed skepticism regarding digital necessity, particularly if immediate crisis had receded.

Table 1. Digital Transformation Dimensions and Crisis Resilience Outcomes in MSMEs (Banten, Indonesia)

Digital Transformation Dimension	Operational Mechanisms	Crisis Resilience Outcomes	Enabling Factors	Constraining Factors
Operational Reconfiguration	Multichannel sales platform adoption; Digital payment systems; Inventory management systems; Production scheduling	20-40% operational efficiency improvement; Market channel diversification; 30% revenue recovery vs. non-adopters; Reduced cash vulnerability	Early crisis necessity driving adoption; Platform ecosystem maturity; Workforce flexibility	Technology learning curve; Transaction fee costs; Customer digital literacy barriers
Strategic Flexibility	Data analytics implementation; Real-time operational visibility; Supply chain reconfiguration; Customer preference analysis	Rapid strategic pivoting; Supplier diversification; Product mix optimization; Evidence-based decision-making	Integrated MIS adoption; Analytical capability; Management openness to change	Data interpretation skill gaps; Change resistance in traditional organizations
Stakeholder Collaboration	Digital network formation; Collective problem-solving; Resource sharing; Knowledge exchange	Network resilience multiplier effect; Collective supplier negotiation power; Distributed risk absorption; Shared innovation development	MSME cluster formation; Government coordination initiatives; Platform-enabled connectivity	Trust barriers in competitive environments; Free-rider problems; Coordination complexity
Business Model Evolution	Product-market diversification; Service innovation; Scalability enhancement; Customer segment targeting	Competitive differentiation; Market expansion; Revenue growth 25-45% above crisis period baseline; Customer loyalty enhancement	Experimentation culture; Analytical customer insights; Platform flexibility	Organizational conservatism; Insufficient capital for experimentation; Market saturation
Organizational Capability Deepening	Workforce digital literacy; Management system redesign; Process standardization; Capability institutionalization	Long-term competitive advantage; Crisis anticipation rather than reaction; Systematic innovation; Organizational agility	Deliberate capability investment; Learning culture; Leadership commitment	Financial constraints; Specialized skill scarcity; Change management resistance

Integration with Crisis Resilience Theory

The findings demonstrate that digital transformation operationalizes dynamic capabilities theory by enabling three systematic dimensions: **sensing**, **seizing**, and **transforming**. Sensing capacity—the ability to detect environmental threats and opportunities—was fundamentally enhanced by digital visibility into real-time operations, market dynamics, and stakeholder behaviors. Traditional MSME management operated with delayed information (monthly financial statements, customer feedback through personal interaction). Digital systems provided immediate feedback, enabling rapid threat recognition and opportunity identification. A retail enterprise detected customer preference shifts within days through digital transaction analysis; traditional inventory-based methods would have required weeks to identify the same patterns.

Seizing capacity—mobilizing resources for adaptive response—was enhanced through operational flexibility embedded in digital platforms. Rather than requiring months to modify business processes, enterprises with digital infrastructure could implement changes within days. A culinary enterprise rapidly shifted production from dine-in focus to delivery emphasis through digital reallocation of resources, something that would have required substantial capital investment and time without digital infrastructure.

Transforming capacity—systematic organizational reconfiguration—was the most complex, reflecting the distinction between reactive technology adoption and strategic capability development. Initial crisis responses often involved surface-level platform adoption without fundamental organizational transformation. Sustained resilience emerged when organizations deliberately redesigned operations around digital capabilities, retraining workforces, reconstructing decision-making processes, and institutionalizing continuous learning.

Critically, the analysis reveals that digital transformation's impact on crisis resilience depends fundamentally on organizational learning intensity. Enterprises that treated digital tool adoption as static implementation maintained limited resilience gains. Those that embedded systematic learning practices—regular review of digital-generated insights, deliberate experimentation with platform features, continuous workforce capability development, regular strategic reflection on digital opportunities—developed substantially more robust resilience (Anggarda & Widyarini, 2025). This aligns with literature documenting that digital technology adoption alone is insufficient for competitive advantage without parallel organizational learning and strategic alignment (Anggarda & Widyarini, 2025).

The findings also confirm the critical mediating role of leadership commitment. Enterprises where leadership actively championed digital transformation, modeled digital tool usage, invested in workforce capability development, and incorporated digital insights into strategic decisions developed substantially more sophisticated digital capabilities compared to enterprises where leadership viewed digital as an IT department responsibility. This leadership dimension reflects research emphasizing transformational leadership's role in driving sustained organizational change (Chang & Octoyuda, 2024).

Institutional support mechanisms emerged as crucial enabling factor yet persistently inadequate in the Banten context. Government digitalization programs provided valuable support—financial incentives, platform infrastructure, training initiatives—yet support often arrived with delays, required complex administrative navigation, or provided fragmented assistance rather than integrated capability development. Enterprises with access to better-resourced support institutions (university partnerships, corporate mentorship programs, specialized consulting) developed more sophisticated digital capabilities.

Limitations and Unexplored Dimensions

The analysis also revealed several important limitations to digital transformation's resilience-building capacity: (1) Unequal digital accessibility—enterprises with better capital, technical expertise, and institutional connections systematized digital adoption more rapidly; resource-constrained MSMEs experienced delayed access; (2) Infrastructure dependency—digital transformation effectiveness depends fundamentally on reliable internet connectivity, electricity, and digital device availability; in regions with inadequate infrastructure, digital benefits remained constrained; (3) Cognitive resistance—some MSME actors maintained skepticism regarding digital necessity, particularly in lower-disruption periods when crisis urgency diminished; (4) Ecosystem limitations—network effects and platform dynamics sometimes disadvantaged early adopters if competing platforms subsequently dominated markets; (5) Dependency risk—enterprises becoming highly dependent on specific platforms (e-

commerce sites, payment processors) faced vulnerability if platform policies, pricing, or reliability changed unfavorably.

These limitations suggest that while digital transformation substantially enhances MSME crisis resilience, it represents necessary but insufficient condition. Complementary policies addressing infrastructure, financial access, human capital development, and institutional coordination are essential for equitable resilience.

CONCLUSION

This research examined how digital transformation enables crisis resilience in MSMEs operating in Banten Province during conditions of sustained economic, operational, and institutional disruption. The investigation revealed that digital transformation functions as a comprehensive adaptive mechanism through which MSMEs enhance their capacity to detect environmental threats, mobilize responsive resources, and reconfigure organizational configurations to sustain operations under crisis.

Synthesis of Key Findings: Digital transformation operates through three primary resilience mechanisms: operational reconfiguration enabling multichannel market access and operational efficiency, strategic flexibility permitting rapid business model adaptation and supply chain reconfiguration, and stakeholder collaboration amplifying individual enterprise adaptive capacity. These mechanisms are substantially mediated by organizational learning intensity, leadership commitment, and institutional support mechanisms. The analysis identified distinct adoption patterns ranging from minimal crisis-driven adoption to comprehensive strategic transformation, with adoption depth directly correlating with long-term resilience sustainability. Digital transformation catalyzed broader organizational transformation encompassing business model evolution, stakeholder ecosystem expansion, and organizational capability deepening—changes that extended resilience benefits beyond immediate crisis response toward sustained competitive positioning.

Theoretical Contributions: This research operationalizes dynamic capabilities theory within the MSME crisis resilience context by specifying how digital transformation systematically enhances sensing, seizing, and transforming capacities. It advances understanding of organizational learning's mediating role in translating technology adoption into competitive capability. The framework proposed here—explicitly linking digital transformation, organizational learning, leadership commitment, and institutional support to crisis resilience outcomes—enriches literature on MSME adaptation while providing theoretical grounding for policy design.

Practical Implications:

For MSME actors: The findings suggest that sustainable crisis resilience requires deliberate organizational learning practices around digital implementation, leadership commitment to embedding digital thinking into strategic decision-making, and systematic investment in workforce digital literacy. Rather than viewing digital transformation as IT implementation, MSME actors should conceptualize it as comprehensive organizational redesign integrating technology with human capabilities and strategic processes.

For policymakers: Effective MSME support requires comprehensive policy coordination addressing multiple dimensions: digital infrastructure investment ensuring reliable, affordable connectivity; financial mechanisms reducing adoption cost barriers; human capital development through accessible digital literacy programs; institutional coordination preventing fragmented support provision; regulatory frameworks protecting MSME interests in digital platforms. Notably, the research reveals that technology subsidy alone is insufficient—complementary investments in organizational capability development and institutional support are essential.

For development organizations and civil society: Support interventions should emphasize organizational learning facilitation rather than technology distribution. Effective support programs incorporated peer learning networks enabling MSMEs to learn from each other's experiences, provided ongoing mentoring rather than one-time training, facilitated access to analytics expertise helping enterprises interpret digital data, and supported ecosystem development enabling MSME collaboration and collective problem-solving.

Limitations: This research employed qualitative case study methodology with 12 MSME participants, limiting statistical generalizability. The seven-month fieldwork period, while enabling longitudinal observation, did not permit extended longitudinal tracking of resilience effects over years. The research focused on Banten context; findings may not transfer to MSMEs in regions with substantially different infrastructure, institutional, or economic conditions. The analysis emphasized digitalization as primary independent variable; other resilience determinants (leadership quality,

financial reserves, supply chain integration) also substantially influence outcomes but received secondary analytical attention.

Future Research Directions: Longitudinal studies tracking MSME digital transformation trajectories and resilience outcomes over multiple years would enable stronger causal inference and pattern identification beyond this cross-sectional snapshot. Research extending this framework to diverse MSME contexts (different Indonesian regions, different countries) would test generalizability and identify context-specific adaptations. Comparative studies examining how digital transformation interacts with other resilience mechanisms (financial reserves, supply chain diversification, community networks) would enhance theoretical understanding of multi-dimensional resilience. Investigation of how emerging technologies (artificial intelligence, blockchain, Internet of Things) enhance MSME digital capabilities beyond current e-commerce and management systems would advance forward-looking understanding. Research explicitly examining equity dimensions—how digital transformation benefits distribute across MSME populations, which MSMEs remain excluded from digital benefits—would ensure policy attention to inclusive development.

Conclusion: This investigation demonstrated that digital transformation, when operationalized through organizational learning, leadership commitment, and institutional support, substantially enhances MSME crisis resilience by enabling operational reconfiguration, strategic flexibility, and stakeholder collaboration. The findings challenge technology-deterministic perspectives suggesting that mere technology adoption generates resilience outcomes. Rather, sustainable crisis resilience emerges through intentional organizational redesign integrating digital capabilities with human development and strategic institutional coordination. For MSME-dependent economies like Indonesia, strengthening this capacity represents essential infrastructure for economic stability amid increasing crisis exposure.

REFERENCES

Anggarda, T. R., & Widyarini, L. A. (2025). The Effect Of Digital Technology Usage On Competitive Advantage With The Mediation Of Organizational Learning And Organizational Strategic Agility In Micro, Small, And Medium Enterprises. *International Conference of Business and Social Sciences*. https://doi.org/10.24034/icobuss.v5i1.710

Chang, C.-L., & Octoyuda, E. (2024). Driving digital transformation: How transformational leadership bridges learning agility and digital technology adoption in MSMEs. *Emerging Science Journal*. https://doi.org/10.28991/esj-2024-08-04-020

Espinoza-Freire, E. E. (2025). The case study method in qualitative research: A critical analysis of its foundations, applications, and challenges. *Sophia Research Review*. https://doi.org/10.64092/e970ze96

Hidayat, M., Badaruddin, B., & Widiawati, A. (2025). Synergising competitiveness and technological innovation to enhance micro, small and medium enterprise resilience through entrepreneurial orientation in the digital era. *The Southern African Journal of Entrepreneurship and Small Business Management*. https://doi.org/10.4102/sajesbm.v17i1.1216

Irsanti, N. I., & Rodiyah, I. (2025). The Effectiveness Of East Java Online Shopping (Jatim Bejo) In Encouraging The Participation Of Local Micro, Small, And Medium Enterprises (MSMEs). *Proceeding of International Conference on Social Science and Humanity*. https://doi.org/10.61796/icossh.v2i2.296

Jia, F., Qi, Y., & Dai, H. (2025). Impact of digital transformation in small and medium-sized enterprises on supply chain resilience. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Digital Economy and Information Technology*. https://doi.org/10.1145/3788763.3788785

Lestari, E., Hamid, N., Rizkalla, N., Purnamaningsih, P., & Urus, S. (2022). Turning vulnerability into resilience during covid-19: Investigating indonesian MSMEs business resilience drivers. *13th GLOBAL CONFERENCE ON BUSINESS AND SOCIAL SCIENCES*. https://doi.org/10.35609/gcbssproceeding.2022.1(76)

Mustika, Y. (2024). Sustaining Culinary Msmes Through Adaptive Strategies In Economic Crisis. *Global Research in Economics and Advanced Theory (GREAT)*. https://doi.org/10.65788/greatjournal.v1i3.56

Ningsih, A., Priscilla, L., & Kaltum, U. (2024). The implication of business digitalization, organizational learning, and innovation capabilities on the firm performance of MSMEs. *Jurnal Manajerial*. https://doi.org/10.30587/jurnalmanajerial.v11i02.6293

Pandey, P. (2026). Digital transformation and resilience in MSMEs: A systematic literature review of barriers, capabilities, and policy supports (2015–2025). *Asian Journal of Economics Business and Accounting*. https://doi.org/10.9734/ajeba/2026/v26i12126

Qur'an, M. N. A. (2025). Conducting case study research in international entrepreneurship: A protocol for qualitative case study. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*. https://doi.org/10.1177/16094069251394934

Sagala, G., & Őri, D. (2026). Resilience and digital transformation: A small to medium enterprise resilience framework. *Management Decision*. https://doi.org/10.1108/md-05-2025-1470

Supari, S., & Anton, H. (2022). The impact of the national economic recovery program and digitalization on MSME resilience during the COVID-19 pandemic: A case study of bank rakyat indonesia. *Economies*. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.3390/economies10070160

Suryadi, R., Oktaviana, L., Syaifulloh, K., Yusnia, A. M., & Rafiaulia, R. A. (2026). Resilience of MSMEs in surakarta city: Integrating digital transformation and local economic resilience based on javanese cultural values. *Priviet Social Sciences Journal*. https://doi.org/10.55942/pssj.v6i3.1604